UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	•	01.22	
Jua	an Gerardo Torres-Rodriguez	Case Number:	11-6422M
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 is represented by counsel. I conclude by a defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing preponderance of the ev	g was held on August 12, 2011. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
		NDINGS OF FACT	
·	onderance of the evidence that:		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	he defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contact	ts in the United States or	in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of the defendant using	numerous aliases.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	enforcement contact by fl	eeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	у	ears imprisonment.
at the time of th	ne hearing in this matter, except as noted CON	in the record. ICLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION	nt will flee. ns will reasonably assure S REGARDING DETEN '	the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United St	cility separate, to the extent practicable, fro fendant shall be afforded a reasonable op tates or on request of an attorney for the G e United States Marshal for the purpose of	om persons awaiting or se portunity for private cons Sovernment, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS O deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this de	tention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS FU Services suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ently in advance of the hearing before th potential third party custodian.	third party is to be consid e District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE	ED this 15 th day of August, 2011		
	9	4	
		The state of the s	
		avid K. Duncan tates Magistrate Judg	e